

# **PROBLEM FORMULATION**

- Consider data from K distinct classes (populations).
- Let  $\{\mathbf{x}_{k,i}\}_{i=1}^{n_k}$  denote the data set of the *k*th class.
- Our aim is to estimate the  $p \times p$  covariance matrices,

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_k])(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_k])^{\top}], \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_k$  denotes a random vector from the *k*th class. • The sample covariance matrix (SCM) of class k is

$$\mathbf{S}_k = \frac{1}{n_k - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (\mathbf{x}_{k,i} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_k) (\mathbf{x}_{k,i} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_k)^{\top},$$

where  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_k = rac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \mathbf{x}_{k,i}$ .

- If  $p \approx n_k$  or  $p > n_k$ , regularization of the SCM is needed to reduce the variance and to ensure positive definiteness.
- A natural regularization target is the pooled SCM.

We are interested in a regularized SCM for class k:  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_k(\beta) = \beta \mathbf{S}_k + (1 - \beta) \mathbf{S},$ 

where  $\beta \in [0, 1]$ , and the regularization target S is

the pooled (average) SCM:

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathbf{S}_k, \quad ext{where} \quad \pi_k = rac{n_k}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} n_j}.$$

**Goal**: determine the optimal regularization level,

$$\beta_k^{\star} = \operatorname*{arg\ min}_{\beta \in [0,1]} \mathbb{E} \left[ \| \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_k(\beta) - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k \|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 \right].$$

**Solution**:

$$\beta_k^{\star} = \frac{(1 - \pi_k) \operatorname{tr} \left( \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^2 \right) - \pi_k \mathbb{E} \left[ \operatorname{tr} \left( \mathbf{S}_k^2 \right) \right] + \delta_k}{(1 - 2\pi_k) \mathbb{E} \left[ \operatorname{tr} \left( \mathbf{S}_k^2 \right) \right] + \delta_k}, \quad (1)$$

where 
$$\delta_k = \sum_j \pi_j^2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \operatorname{tr} \left( \mathbf{S}_j^2 \right) \right] - 2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^K \pi_j \operatorname{tr} \left( \mathbf{\Sigma}_k \mathbf{\Sigma}_j \right)$$
  
  $+ \sum_{i \neq j} \pi_i \pi_j \operatorname{tr} \left( \mathbf{\Sigma}_i \mathbf{\Sigma}_j \right).$ 

We need to estimate:  $\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}), i \neq j, \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{S}_{k}^{2})], \text{ and } \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k}^{2}).$ 

# **OPTIMAL POOLING OF COVARIANCE MATRIX ESTIMATES ACROSS MULTIPLE CLASSES**

Elias Raninen and Esa Ollila at the Department of Signal Processing and Acoustics, Aalto University, Finland **Contact:** elias.raninen@aalto.fi and esa.ollila@aalto.fi

# **ESTIMATION OF PARAMETERS**

- Assume  $\{\mathbf{x}_{i,k}\}_{k=1}^{K}$ ,  $\forall k$ , are from (unspecified) elliptical distributions with finite 4th order moments.
- A consistent estimate of tr  $(\Sigma_i \Sigma_j)$ ,  $i \neq j$ , is tr  $(\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j)$ .
- By using Corollary 1 from [1], one can show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{S}_{k}^{2}\right)\right] = p\eta_{k}^{2}\left(\tau_{1}(p+\gamma_{k}) + (\tau_{2}+1)\gamma_{k}\right),$$

where  $\tau_1 = (n_k - 1)^{-1} + \kappa_k / n_k$  and  $\tau_2 = \kappa_k / n_k$ .

- The elliptical kurtosis,  $\kappa_k = (1/3) \cdot \{\text{excess kurtosis}\},\$ is estimated by the average elliptical sample kurtosis of the variables.
- $\circ$  The scale,  $\eta_k = \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma_k)/p$ , is estimated by  $\hat{\eta}_k =$  $\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{S}_{k}\right)/p.$
- $\circ$  The sphericity,  $\gamma_k = p \operatorname{tr} \left( \Sigma_k^2 \right) / \operatorname{tr} \left( \Sigma_k \right)^2$ , is estimated by [2]

$$\hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{sgn},k} = p \mathrm{tr} \left( \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{sgn},k}^2 \right) - \frac{p}{n_k}$$

where the *sample sign covariance matrix* is

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{sgn},k} = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{k,i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_k)(\mathbf{x}_{k,i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_k)^\top}{\|\mathbf{x}_{k,i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_k\|^2},$$

and  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_k = \arg \min _{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \|\mathbf{x}_{k,i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\|.$ 

- An estimate of tr  $(\Sigma_k^2)$  is obtained by  $p\hat{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sgn},k}\hat{\eta}_k^2$ .
- As the final estimate of  $\beta_k^*$ , we use  $\max\{0, \min\{1, \hat{\beta}_k\}\}$ .
- We estimate  $\hat{\beta}_k$  for each class k, and denote the method by **Prop 1**.

## **SIMULATION SET-UPS**

1. 
$$\Sigma_k = k\mathbf{I}$$
.

2. 
$$(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)_{ij} = k \rho_k^{|i-j|}$$
, where

$$ho_1 = -0.6, \ 
ho_2 = -0.2, \ 
ho_3 = 0.2, \ ext{and} \ 
ho_4 = 0.6.$$

• K = 4, p = 20,  $n_k = 10k$ , and  $n = \sum_k n_k = 100$ .

- The data was Student's  $t_{\nu}$ -distributed with  $\nu = 10$ .
- $\mu_1 = 0$ , and for the classes  $k = 2, 3, \text{ and } 4, \|\mu_k\| = 1 + k$ in orthogonal directions.
- 300 Monte-Carlo trials.

# **MSE PERFORMANCE**

The empirical NMSE,  $\tilde{L}_k = \operatorname{Ave} \|\hat{\Sigma}_k - \Sigma_k\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 / \|\Sigma_k\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2$ , for the set-ups 1 and 2 (from top to down). LB denotes the lower bound and Oracle uses  $\beta_k^{\star}$  from (1). Standard deviations are in parenthesis.

- LBOra Pro Poo] SCN LBOra Pro
- Poo] SCN

# **APPLICATION IN CLASSIFICATION**

• In discriminant analysis, any new observation x is assigned to class  $\hat{k}$  by the rule:

#### $\alpha$

|            | $\widetilde{L}_1$ | $\widetilde{L}_2$ | $	ilde{L}_3$ | $\widetilde{L}_4$ | Sum                |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|
|            | 2.04 (0.97)       | 0.65 (0.20)       | 0.30 (0.08)  | 0.24 (0.05)       | 3.24 (1.07)        |
| cle        | 2.13 (1.09)       | 0.70 (0.29)       | 0.32 (0.12)  | 0.24 (0.05)       | 3.40 (1.23)        |
| <b>p</b> 1 | 2.07 (0.97)       | 0.67 (0.20)       | 0.31 (0.08)  | 0.24 (0.05)       | <b>3.29</b> (1.06) |
| 1          | 6.80 (1.85)       | 0.96 (0.37)       | 0.32 (0.13)  | 0.24 (0.05)       | 8.32 (2.38)        |
| M          | 2.89(1.63)        | 1.42 (0.84)       | 0.92(0.35)   | 0.73 (0.41)       | 5.95 (1.94)        |
|            | 1.17(0.57)        | 0.87 (0.29)       | 0.37 (0.11)  | 0.22 (0.05)       | 2.63 (0.68)        |
| lcle       | 1.25(0.71)        | 0.93 (0.40)       | 0.40(0.17)   | 0.24 (0.09)       | 2.81(0.90)         |
| op 1       | 1.18(0.60)        | 0.88 (0.30)       | 0.38 (0.12)  | 0.24 (0.07)       | 2.68 (0.72)        |
| 1          | 6.25 (1.77)       | 2.04(0.73)        | 0.43 (0.23)  | 0.29 (0.05)       | 9.01 (2.71)        |
| N          | 1.50(1.05)        | 1.32(0.75)        | 0.86 (0.34)  | 0.39 (0.31)       | 4.07 (1.36)        |
|            |                   |                   |              |                   |                    |

$$\hat{k} = \arg\min_{k} (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{k})^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{k}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{k}) + \log |\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{k}|.$$

• In **RDA** [3],  $\hat{\Sigma}_k(\beta)$  is further regularized towards scaled identity by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{k}(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{k}(\beta) + (1-\alpha) \big( \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{k}(\beta))/p \big) \mathbf{I}, \quad (2)$$

and  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$  are common across classes and chosen via cross-validation.

• We applied (2) to our estimator by using

$$\hat{\alpha}_k = \max\left\{0, \frac{\hat{\gamma}_k - 1}{\hat{\gamma}_k - 1 + (\hat{\kappa}_k(2\hat{\gamma}_k + p) + \hat{\gamma}_k + p)/n_k}\right\}$$

from [4]. We denote this estimator by **Prop 2**. • CV is the RDA estimator in (2) with fixed  $\alpha = 1$ . • LDA uses the pooled SCM.

• Note: Prop 1 and Prop 2 are computationally significantly more efficient than CV and RDA since no cross-validation is needed.

#### SYNTHETIC DATA EXAMPLES





Boxplots of the misclassification rate  $\times 100$  and  $\hat{\beta}_k$  for the set-ups 1 (left) and 2 (right). The black triangles denote  $\beta_k^{\star}$ .

#### **REAL DATA EXAMPLES**

- used as training data.



Boxplots of the misclassification rate  $\times 100$  for the glass data (left) and the ionosphere data (right).

# REFERENCES

• Glass data set [5]: p = 9,  $n_1 = 51$  (window glass) and  $n_2 = 163$  (non-window glass).

• Ionosphere data set [5]: p = 32,  $n_1 = 126$  (bad radar return) and  $n_2 = 225$  (good radar return).

• A fraction 1/4 of the samples from each class were

[1] David E. Tyler, "Radial estimates and the test for sphericity," *Biometrika*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 429–436, 1982.

[2] Teng Zhang and Ami Wiesel, "Automatic diagonal loading for Tyler's robust covariance estimator," in SSP, 2016, pp. 1–5.

[3] Jerome H. Friedman, "Regularized discriminant analysis," Journal of the American Statistical Association, vol. 84, no. 405, pp. 165–175, 1989.

[4] Esa Ollila, "Optimal high-dimensional shrinkage covariance estimation for elliptical distributions," in EUSIPCO, Kos, Greece, 2017, pp. 1639–1643. [5] "UCI machine learning repository," http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml.

### ICASSP'18, Calgary, Alberta, Canada